

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/475,880	12/30/1999	JAMES R. ALTENDAHL	E-911	7003
919	7590 08/13/2003			
PITNEY BO	WES INC.	EXAMINER		
35 WATERV	IEW DRIVE	VIG, NARESH		
P.O. BOX 300	00		VIG, NA	KESH
MSC 26-22				
SHELTON, C	T 06484-8000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,							
,	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
	09	09/475,880 ALTENDAHL ET		AL.			
Office Action Summ	ary	aminer	Art Unit				
	Na	resh Vig	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perior - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply withir aximum statutory period will app d for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date	In no event, however, the statutory minimuly and will expire SIX the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<u></u>	on(s) filed on 27 May	2002					
<u></u>							
· <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —	tion is non-final		u			
3) Since this application is in c closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims			al matters, prosecution as to t 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 – 2 and 4 – 9</u> is/a	are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)			on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 – 2 and 4 – 9</u> is/a							
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	-						
8) Claim(s) are subject to		ction requireme	nt.				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accepted o	or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawing	s are required in reply to	this Office action	•				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Examin	er.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign pric	rity under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	ne of:		·				
1. Certified copies of the	priority documents hav	e been receive	d.				
	•		d in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified	copies of the priority de International Bureau	ocuments have (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this Nationa 2(a)).	ıl Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a		·		al application).			
a) The translation of the fore	eign language provisio	nal application	has been received.	,			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO			erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N tice of Informal Patent Application (P ter:				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No. 11	1			

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

This is in reference to response received on 27 May 2003 to the office action mailed on 25 February 2003. Amendment to claims 1, 4 and 9, and cancellation of claim 3 is acknowledged. There are 8 claims, claims 1 – 2 and 4 – 9 pending for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 2 and 4 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholls et al. U.S. Patent 5,631,827 hereinafter known as Nicholls in view of Roberts et al. US Patent 6,401,078 in further view of Soga et al. U.S. Patent 6,304,856 hereinafter known as Soga.

Regarding claims 1, 4 – 6 and 9, Nicholls discloses a logistics system which is adaptable to a wide variety of different organizations. The system is suitable for deployment on a single, standalone computer or on a computerized network comprising

Art Unit: 3629

many computers. Nicholls discloses that among the advantages of the present system are reduction in freight costs; convenient order tracking to facilitate warranty, lot and serial number tracking; readily customizable system adapted to shipping operation; etc. Nichols system includes, user interface for collecting input information from a user about a desired operation and for providing output information (col. 1, lines 45 – 59).

Rate servers have database means for maintaining a record of the rates applicable to a given carriers and further having an embedded set of predefined methods representing the rate computation rules of said carriers (col. 27, lines 39 – 43). Nicholls provides reports using a third party report generator program (Table 1).

Also, Nicholls discloses that the shipments client accepts user input for the routing, rating and documentation of a group of packages comprising a shipment. Multiple shipper accounts are allowed and the desired account may by selected from the Shipper "drop-box." Similarly, the service is selected from the Service box. Alternatively, the service may be set to best way and the system will choose the least cost carrier which meets the transit time requirements indicated in the commitment field (col. 7, lines 49-57).

In addition, Nicholls discloses that its "rate servers encode the knowledge required to answer questions such as how to calculate shipment rates or how to band shipments. Thus, rate servers provide the knowledge regarding a specific carrier's requirements. Typically, rate servers are provided with specific details regarding a given shipment's weight or the required delivery date by a client application." (col. 5, lines 34 –

Art Unit: 3629

Nicholls does not disclose load planning. Roberts teaches that the goal of proper load planning is to deliver the freight on time with the delivery truck leaving the terminal with a full load. Many of the partially loaded trucks could have been fully loaded had the load planner known about unscheduled. This movement of freight as partial also results in decreased efficiency, increased costs and reduced profits for the trucking company (col. 2, lines 1 – 17). Roberts teaches that the load planning data is sent to a load planner or to load planning software and the billing data is sent to the billing clerk or accounting/billing software application. With this information in the computer system load planning can be accomplished, so that when the truck driver returns to the terminal the load planning is completed. Having the load planning accomplished prior to the freight's arrival, reduces the freight turn-around time and freight handling. Additionally, fewer delivery trucks will depart with partial loads. Consequently, the trucking company would be able to move the same amount of freight at a lower cost (col. 2, line 65 – col. 3, line 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide load planning data to the load planner to schedule the loading of truck in an efficient manner to increase profits.

Nicholls does not disclose to generate acceptable routes. Soga teaches to retrieve a transport route pattern by using the departure store name and arrival store name as the key to determine transport route base stations. In the transport route pattern, transport route base stations are registered at intersection points of a matrix of departure store and arrival store. (For example, if the departure store is "Sapporo nishiten" and the arrival store is "Fukuoka higashiten", then an entry will determine that

Art Unit: 3629

the transport route is Sapporo nishiten--Chitose Kuhkohten--Fukuoka kuhkohten--Fukuoka higashiten.), (col. 19, lines 32 – 55) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create routes in Nicholls at al. to cut down the shipping expenses and to have efficient delivery for customers.

When a business (manufacturer) ships products to its customers, it is known to one with ordinary skill in the art that shipments are made as agreed upon in the agreement with the customer. For example, a customer may instruct the manufacturer to packages via UPS up to certain dimension or weight (e.g. UPS has package weight limit), and, use alternate carrier like Emery for other packages, some carrier may elect to use specific carriers for their shipment because they have special arrangement with that carrier etc. (e.g. IBM used RPS returned merchandise). The shipping department personnel arranges for shipment with the appropriate carrier to meet customer requirements. A may elect to automate this manual process. Nicholls does not disclose load planning. Roberts teaches that the load planning data is sent to a load planner or to load planning software (router) and the billing data is sent to the billing clerk or accounting/billing software application. With this information in the computer system load planning can be accomplished, so that when the truck driver returns to the terminal the load planning is completed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide load planning data to the load planner to schedule the loading of truck in an efficient manner to increase profits.

Art Unit: 3629

Regarding claim 2, Nichols discloses that "various transportation logistics tasks, such as order processing, order fulfillment, transportation of goods and tracking, are assigned to individual client/server objects which make up the building blocks of the computerized, logistics management system." (abstract)

Regarding claims 7 Nicholls discloses that the shipments client accepts user input for the routing, rating and documentation of a group of packages comprising a shipment. Multiple shipper accounts are allowed and the desired account may by selected from the Shipper "drop-box." Similarly, the service is selected from the Service box. Alternatively, the service may be set to best way and the system will choose the least cost carrier which meets the transit time requirements indicated in the commitment field (col. 7, lines 49 – 57). Nicholls does not disclose apportionment of the costs for each of the consolidation. However, Nicholls discloses means for maintaining a record of the rates applicable to a given carriers and further having an embedded set of predefined methods representing the rate computation rules of said carriers (col. 27, lines 39 – 43). Also, It is known at the time of invention to a person with ordinary skill in the art that carriers and freight consolidators charge shipping rates to their customers based upon shipping criteria like weight, volume, type of carton etc, Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

to calculate shipping charges based on the carriers/consolidators requirements to maintain their profit margins (for example, a customer shipping metal bars may be charged shipping charges based upon weight, whereas, a customer shipping furniture may be charged shipping charge based upon volume, applicant can check with a cargo freightliner to get their rates for shipping cargo on a ship).

Regarding claim 8, Nicholls does not discloses consolidation. Roberts teaches that nor Soga teaches to decide for consolidation of shipment. However, The freight is sorted and consolidated into truck loads at the terminal to minimize the empty space on the truck that will transport the freight from the local terminal to either a local delivery or a distribution terminal in another city or state (col. 1, lines 40 – 44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consolidate packages to minimize empty truck space and increase profits. Neither Nicholls nor Roberts teaches to decide whether to consolidate based upon some other criteria other than filling the truck. However, it is known at the time of invention to a person with ordinary skill in the art the a load planner is entrusted by a business to manage costs. In addition, certain items (e.g. IBM Mainframe Computer) require climate control environment for shipping (costs more that the regular shipping method). In the cases, where the package requires special handling, load planner may decide to ship the special handling package in a seperate shipment instead of making the whole shipment as a special handling shipment and to decrease cost. In 1993, examiner was

Page 8

involved in moving IBM office from Gaithersburg, MD to Falls Church, VA where the shipment of computers required special handling, and, were shipped in a separate truck. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to decide the contents of the consolidation to ensure that the shipping method meets the package shipment requirements.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is 703.305.3372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00 (Alt Friday off).

Art Unit: 3629

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703.308.2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.305.3900.

Naresh Vig August 1, 2003 JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600